Optimized approach to retrieve information on atmospheric carbonyl sulfide (OCS) above the Jungfraujoch station and change in its abundance since 1995
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A B S T R A C T

In this paper, we present an optimized retrieval strategy for carbonyl sulfide (OCS), using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) solar observations made at the high-altitude Jungfraujoch station in the Swiss Alps. More than 200 lines of the $\nu_3$ fundamental band of OCS have been systematically evaluated and we selected 4 microwindows on the basis of objective criteria minimizing the effect of interferences, mainly by solar features, carbon dioxide and water vapor absorption lines, while maximizing the information content. Implementation of this new retrieval strategy provided an extended time series of the OCS abundance spanning the 1995–2015 time period, for the study of the long-term trend and seasonal variation of OCS in the free troposphere and stratosphere.

Three distinct periods characterize the evolution of the tropospheric partial columns: a first decreasing period (1995–2002), an intermediate increasing period (2002–2008), and the more recent period (2008–2015) which shows no significant trend. Our FTIR tropospheric and stratospheric time series are compared with new in situ gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GCMS) measurements performed by Empa (Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology) at the Jungfraujoch since 2008, and with space-borne solar occultation observations by the ACE-FTS instrument on-board the SCISAT satellite, respectively, and they show good agreement. The OCS signal recorded above Jungfraujoch appears to be closely related to anthropogenic sulfur emissions.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

With a global average tropospheric mixing ratio of about 500 pptv (parts per trillion by volume) [1] and an atmospheric lifetime of about 2.5 years [2], OCS is the most abundant sulfur-containing compound in the free troposphere. The main sources of atmospheric OCS are [3]: the atmospheric oxidation of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) from marine plankton, oxidation of carbon disulfide (CS2) from industrial and marine natural origin, and the gas exchange of OCS between the oceans and the atmosphere. The main sinks are uptake by land plants, uptake by oxic soils, and atmospheric oxidation by hydroxyl radicals.

OCS is an atmospheric trace gas which is gaining increasing attention from the scientific community. First considered for its role in the Earth’s radiation balance as a contributor to...
the non-volcanic background sulfate layer in the stratosphere [4], it has been suggested more recently that atmospheric OCS measurements have the potential to become an atmospheric tracer of Gross Primary Production (GPP) and thus it holds great promise for studies of carbon cycle processes [2]. As a result, numerous studies have been undertaken to improve our knowledge of OCS vegetation uptake (e.g., [5-12]).

Despite its high tropospheric abundance and this increased interest, large uncertainty remains in both the OCS source and sink budgets. Watts [13] and Kettle et al. [3] proposed a mass budget described as balanced within the range of estimated uncertainty, but in fact it is imbalanced with sinks higher than sources. More recent modeling studies [5,8,14] suggested an additional oceanic source concentrated in the tropics to balance the increased global vegetation sink required to reproduce the seasonal variation observed in atmospheric concentration measurements.

Stratospheric sulfate aerosol (SSA) is important for a number of processes that affect the chemical and radiation balance of the atmosphere: heterogeneous chemistry reducing ozone, stratospheric warming and tropospheric cooling [15]. Crutzen [4] was the first to suggest that photodissociation of OCS may contribute significantly to the non-volcanic background sulfate layer in the stratosphere discovered by Junge et al. [16]. Because OCS is relatively inert in the troposphere it can be transported into the stratosphere where it is broken down by photodissociation through the absorption of ultraviolet sunlight. Other sulfur-containing gases such as sulfur dioxide (SO2) contribute also to SSA, but the contribution of each one is uncertain. Some model and isotopic fractionation studies (e.g., [17-20]) suggested that production of SSA from OCS oxidation is too small to maintain the SSA background level and proposed that SO2 transported from the troposphere is the most important precursor for the SSA layer, allowing for the possible influence on SSA by human emissions. However, several recent papers are in disagreement with this view [21-23]. The most recent one [24] estimated that OCS supplies about 56% of the background SSA burden, suggesting that upward transport of OCS from the troposphere largely controls the sulfur budget and the aerosol loading of the background stratosphere.

The lack of any substantial trend in OCS atmospheric loading over the last decades of the 20th century (e.g., [25]) was consistent with a balanced mass budget within the range of estimated uncertainty. This "no-trend" argument was also used in the discussion about the contribution of OCS to SSA, when compared with the increasing trend observed in stratospheric aerosol loading since 2002 (e.g., [26]). However, a small (less than 1% per year) but statistically significant long-term decrease was reported from ground-based infrared measurements recorded above Jungfraujoch (Swiss Alps) from 1990 to 2002 [27], also noticed by Rinsland et al. [25], above Kitt Peak in Arizona between 1978 and 2002. The updated Jungfraujoch data set revealed an increase of OCS over 2002–2008. More recently, Kremser et al. [28] have observed positive trends in their ground-based infrared measurements recorded at three Southern Hemisphere sites from 2001 to 2014. At a more global scale, a relatively small positive trend in global OCS derived from surface observations (1.8 pptv per year) was also reported in the WMO Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion (2010) for the period 2000–2008 [29] and recent observations from the NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) surface network updated through 2012 suggest that any systematic changes in global OCS since 2000 have been small (less than 3%), with an increase of 0.4% from 2011–2012 [1].

The impact of OCS anthropogenic emissions on the abundance of atmospheric OCS is another matter of debate in the scientific community. Turco et al. [30] estimated that increasing anthropogenic emissions of OCS could cause measurable climate alterations within the next century. The anthropogenic part of global OCS sources has increased with time in the global mass budgets, from less than 25% in 1984 [31] to about 40% in 2002 [3], with oxidation of industrial CS2 emissions as the main contributor. Using campaign-type atmospheric measurements above the western Pacific region, Blake et al. [32] observed that air masses of Chinese and Japanese origin were characterized by high OCS mixing ratios coming from land-based sources, suggesting underestimated Chinese emissions (most likely because emission ratio from Chinese coal burning is poorly characterized). A new global anthropogenic inventory for the years 1850–2013 based on new emission measurements and material-specific data results in a smaller growth in the OCS anthropogenic source than previously estimated [33]. Derived from ice and firn air analyses, the history of OCS atmospheric mixing ratios since 1850 seems to be closely related to historical anthropogenic sulfur emissions [34].

Moreover, the slow and long-term increase in atmospheric OCS deduced from ice and firn data analysis on a large temporal scale could indicate a decline in terrestrial productivity [35], increasing the scientific interest for OCS as a proxy of the first global history of GPP [33].

In view of these studies, precise and long-term sets of tropospheric and stratospheric OCS measurements are needed for trend evaluation and to obtain insights into source and sink strengths and their geographic locations [25]. In this paper, we report on the evolution of the vertical carbonyl sulfide loading integrated over the free troposphere and stratosphere above the high-altitude Jungfraujoch station, derived from the spectrometric analysis of Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) solar observations made at that site between 1995 and 2015. Related findings are compared with new in situ gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GCMS) measurements performed by Empa (Laboratory for Air Pollution/Environmental Technology) at the Jungfraujoch station since 2008, as well as with spaceborne solar occultation observations performed by the ACE-FTS instrument on-board the SCISAT satellite.

With respect to the previous ground-based FTIR work, the present analysis has been performed with a new optimized retrieval strategy, mainly an updated set of microwindows and line parameters, with the goal of providing a more accurate and extended time series of tropospheric and stratospheric abundances, long-term trends and seasonal variations.
2. Instrumentation and data sets

2.1. FTIR remote-sensing measurements and retrieval strategy

The long-term OCS time series presented and analyzed in this study has been derived from the analysis of solar spectra recorded between January 1995 and July 2015 under clear-sky conditions at the high-altitude International Scientific Station of the Jungfraujoch (hereafter JFJ; Swiss Alps, 46.5°N, 8.0°E; 3580 m above sea level). The recordings were made with a high spectral resolution Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (Bruker-120 HR). Since 1990, the University of Liège research activities at JFJ are performed within the frame of the Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC; see http://www.ndacc.org; e.g., [36]).

The initial database investigated here consists of about 6600 spectra recorded with an optical filter covering the 1800 to 2250 cm\(^{-1}\) spectral region including the strongest infrared band of OCS – ν\(_3\) centered at 2062 cm\(^{-1}\). Spectral resolutions (defined as the reciprocal of twice the maximum optical path difference) alternate between 0.0029 and 0.0044 cm\(^{-1}\) depending on the rate of solar zenith angle variation during the day, and the scanning time of successive recordings. Signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios vary between 100 and more than 6500 (average spectra resulting from successive individual Bruker scans, predominantly around midday, when solar zenith angles vary slowly).

The spectral analyses were performed with the SFIT-2 v3.91 fitting algorithm, a code based on the optimal estimation method (OEM) formalism of Rodgers [37] and specifically developed to retrieve vertical column abundances and mixing ratio profiles of atmospheric gases from FTIR observations [38]. This code has been successfully compared with the PROFIT retrieval algorithm [39,40], the other tool in use by the NDACC FTIR community, showing consistent results for numerous tropospheric and stratospheric target gases.

Line parameters adopted in the spectral fitting process were taken from the HITRAN 2012 spectroscopic compilation [41], supplemented with an empirical OCS linelist produced by G.C. Toon from Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology in Pasadena (personal communication, 2014) and containing 709 weak OCS lines belonging to two bands that are missing from HITRAN 2012. With respect to the retrieval strategy described in this paper, the use of the HITRAN 2008 [42] or HITRAN 2004 [43] compilation degrades the fitting residuals by 5.5 and 13.1%, respectively (for the 399 spectra recorded in 2009).

The model atmosphere adopted above the 3.58 km Jungfraujoch altitude consists of a 39 layer scheme with progressively increasing thickness to reach 100 km altitude. The pressure–temperature profiles are those specifically computed for the JFJ location and noontime on a daily basis by the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP, Washington, DC; see http://www.ncep.noaa.gov).

The stratospheric portion of the a priori Volume Mixing Ratio (VMR) OCS profile was deduced from a set of 101 solar occultations performed between March 2004 and October 2012 in the ±5° latitude and ±10° longitude zone around the JFJ location by the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS; [44,45]). We assumed a constant tropospheric mixing ratio with the 9.5 km ACE-FTS value (450 pptv) extended down to the JFJ altitude. Diagonal values of the covariance matrix and the Gaussian half width for interlayer correlation (2 km) adopted for off diagonal elements are also based on these ACE-FTS measurements. Note that the assumption of a constant tropospheric mixing ratio is consistent with previous measurements and OCS long tropospheric lifetime [25] and is supposed to correctly represent the mean of the different profiles linked to the plant uptake seasonal variations.

For all interfering molecules, averaged VMR profiles based on WACCM (the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model, e.g. [46]) model predictions for the 1980–2020 period and the JFJ station were used, except for H\(_2\)O (WACCM values divided by 5.0 to get closer to the a priori profile used by Sussmann et al. [47]) and for CO (composite profile using WACCM and ACE-FTS values, for details see [48]). All these a priori VMR profiles are simply scaled during the fitting procedure, except for O\(_3\) (vertical profile retrieved with the covariance matrix described by Barret et al. [49]). Solar lines come from the empirical line-by-line model developed by Hase et al. [50]. We adopted a S/N ratio of 300 for inversion throughout the spectral fitting calculations, after testing different values in order to optimize the fitting residuals while avoiding unphysical oscillation in the retrieved profiles. This S/N ratio is used as a regularization parameter for the OEM, as described, e.g., in Section 2.2 of [51].

The first selection of a spectral region optimized for OCS column retrieval from ground-based FTIR measurements was described by Rinsland et al. [52] who used two microwindows centered on the P\((37)\) and P\((15)\) lines of the OCS ν\(_3\) fundamental band, which is about 2 orders of magnitude stronger than any other OCS IR band. These lines were judged as the best ones, in particular because they are least affected by interference due to several other telluric gases, mainly H\(_2\)O, CO\(_2\), O\(_3\), and CO, and by lines of the Δ\(ν=1\) vibration-rotation sequence of solar CO. In 2002, Rinsland et al. [25] proposed an updated strategy with three microwindows using P\((37)\), P\((25)\) and P\((15)\) as target lines. Since then, to our knowledge no description of a new set of microwindows has been published. Krysztofiak et al. [53] opted for a 4-windows approach but without explanation of their specific choice. Considering the recent growing interest in scientific community in OCS and the progress made in computer performance and improved spectroscopic data for the target and interfering species, we have decided to revisit the OCS retrieval strategy and systematically explore the entire ν\(_3\) fundamental band in order to determine an updated approach optimizing the information content while minimizing the associated error budget.

We started from a simulated solar spectrum to serve as an appropriate basis for the line selection, i.e.
representative for the conditions prevailing at the dry high altitude site of the Jungfraujoch. Note that 2009 is selected as the reference year for simulation and for upcoming line tests. We chose the 1st of October for the pressure–temperature profile since that day shows representative values with respect to the annual means for air temperature and pressure at the Jungfraujoch altitude, as well as for the tropopause altitude. We used the same input parameters as for the retrievals used in this paper, except for the VMR profile of H2O which is scaled to correspond to the 75th-percentile (P75) for water vapor total columns observed above Jungfraujoch in 2009 (129 observation days; see [47], for details about the H2O retrieval strategy and time series). We selected P75 instead of the mean water vapor column so that the lines selection remains optimal even in wet conditions for that site.

The OCS ν3 fundamental band includes 235 transitions from P(117) to R(117) for the main isotopologue 16O12C32S in the 1998.47–2092.68 cm−1 spectral region [41]. To be considered in our study, a line must absorb at least 1% of the signal even for small air mass conditions. So we first simulated a spectrum with a solar zenith angle (SZA) corresponding to the 10th-percentile for the 2009 SZA values (38.6°). This allowed us to select a subset of 117 lines from P(58) to R(58). For further line selection, we simulated a spectrum with a SZA of 72.4° corresponding to the 50th-percentile for the 264 lower resolution (0.0044 cm−1) spectra of 2009 (which are more representative in the database, with about 50 more observation days than for high resolution spectra). At this stage of the selection process, the wavenumber limits of all the microwindows (abbreviated mw’s hereafter) used for the evaluation of the 117 lines are determined systematically by taking half of the difference between two successive

### Table 1
List of primary target lines, respective microwindows, principal telluric interferences and COEFF values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>OCS line position</th>
<th>Microwindow</th>
<th>Interfering gases</th>
<th>COEFF</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P(15)</td>
<td>2055.860551</td>
<td>2055.64–2055.96</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(25)</td>
<td>2051.331396</td>
<td>2051.17–2051.49</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(32)</td>
<td>2048.017611</td>
<td>2047.85–2048.22</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(22)</td>
<td>2052.715469</td>
<td>2052.49–2052.91</td>
<td>O3, H2O</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(28)</td>
<td>2049.925642</td>
<td>2049.77–2050.16</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O, CO</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(21)</td>
<td>2053.172006</td>
<td>2053.08–2053.36</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(11)</td>
<td>2057.604594</td>
<td>2057.43–2057.79</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(37)</td>
<td>2045.578490</td>
<td>2045.33–2045.69</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(31)</td>
<td>2048.498227</td>
<td>2048.22–2048.74</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(29)</td>
<td>2049.452242</td>
<td>2049.29–2049.61</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(19)</td>
<td>2054.077843</td>
<td>2053.99–2054.24</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O, CO</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(50)</td>
<td>2038.956156</td>
<td>2038.85–2039.19</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(24)</td>
<td>2071.550841</td>
<td>2071.34–2071.75</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(14)</td>
<td>2067.994318</td>
<td>2067.83–2068.18</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(18)</td>
<td>2054.327142</td>
<td>2054.33–2054.74</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(34)</td>
<td>2047.049170</td>
<td>2047.00–2047.28</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(25)</td>
<td>2071.892978</td>
<td>2071.75–2072.00</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(8)</td>
<td>2058.887220</td>
<td>2058.68–2059.01</td>
<td>O3, CO2, H2O</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(12)</td>
<td>2057.172211</td>
<td>2057.01–2057.33</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P(14)</td>
<td>2056.300189</td>
<td>2056.15–2056.43</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(15)</td>
<td>2068.361008</td>
<td>2068.18–2068.55</td>
<td>O3, CO2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Microwindows are ranked by their COEFF value. We removed mw’s with a COEFF exceeding the mean + 1σ value and then only considered the 20th-percentile remaining best values for further evaluations. Position (from HITRAN 2012 compilation) and limits of the microwindows are in cm−1. All target OCS lines are in the ν3 vibration-rotation band. Please note that COEFF values have been determined before mw’s edges adjustments.
parts of the OCS line wings which disturb the fits less. Comparison to the maximum OCS absorption in the mw (instead of the absorption at the considered point) minimizes the relative absorptions of the filtered interferences not placed in the center region of the target line. The division of each mw absorption term by the number of points used cancels out the influence of the mw size. The monochromatic point spacing used for the simulation was 0.0013 cm\(^{-1}\).

COEFF values are used to select mw's on which the fitting tests are to be performed. We removed mw's with a COEFF exceeding the mean +1\(\sigma\) value and chose to work with the 20th-percentile best values, for a total of 21 mw’s. Table 1 lists these selected mw’s ranked by their COEFF value. The edges of the mw’s have been adjusted to avoid strong modulation of the absorption near the mw’s borders. The three mw’s used by Rinsland et al. [25] are among the best ones, giving us confidence in the robustness of our selection method.

Fig. 1 shows for these 21 mw’s the results of the fitting for the 399 spectra from 2009. The average root mean square (RMS) residuals (differences between observed and fitted spectra) are plotted as a function of the information content (Degree Of Freedom for Signal – DOFS; the trace of the averaging kernel matrix; see [54]). All mw’s are included in the same DOFS interval (roughly between 1.5 and 2.5), except for the P(50) line. For the residuals, the dispersion is much greater with RMS values from 0.1 to 1.5 and 2.5), except for the P(50) line. For the residuals, the coincidence interval of 1 hour (276 data), given the high variability of H\(_2\)O with time. Results show a better correlation for P(18) with an R coefficient of 0.99 and a slope for the correlation line of 1.01 ± 0.01 (instead of 0.98 and 0.95 ± 0.01 for P(25)).

Fig. 2 illustrates the quality of the H\(_2\)O information provided by the different mw’s when using the P(18) mw as reference. It is clear that H\(_2\)O information coming from the extremity of a wing leads to a poor correlation when compared with the P(18) reference information. On the other hand, the 2049.77 cm\(^{-1}\) starting point of the P(28) mw (upper-right frame of Fig. 2) allows substantial part of the wing to be included (about at half maximum of the line absorption for the solar zenith angle simulated here) and, as a result, improves the quality of the correlation. To optimize the representation of the background level and curvature by the fitting procedure, we decided to reject the P(21) and P(19) mw’s and to adopt a four-microwindows strategy with P(32), P(28), P(25) and P(18) as target lines. They are illustrated in Fig. 3.

On this figure, we can see that characteristic absorptions of CO\(_2\) in the P(32), P(25) and P(18) mw’s are likely to also perturb the modeling of the background level and curvature (weak absorption lines in the mw’s associated with a slope and/or curvature linked to nearby stronger lines). To limit the impact of these CO\(_2\) interferences, we added a fifth mw dedicated to improving the CO\(_2\) adjustment (notice that the main isotopologue 16\(\text{O}\)12\(\text{C}\)18\(\text{O}\) and the 16\(\text{O}\)12\(\text{C}\)18\(\text{O}\) isotopologue, present in the P(28) mw, have to be retrieved independently). This so-called ‘CO\(_2\) mw’ provides enough information about 16\(\text{O}\)12\(\text{C}\)18\(\text{O}\) to reach a correlation of 0.88 (slope of the correlation line: 1.02 ± 0.05) when we compare the deduced CO\(_2\) total column (daily means of year 2009) with those coming from a specific CO\(_2\) retrieval strategy developed by [56]. Without the dedicated ‘CO\(_2\) mw’, the correlation gets worse (R=0.43, slope of 2.19±0.42). When completely neglecting the fit of CO\(_2\), the shape of the OCS vertical profile retrieved in the troposphere is altered, hence this option is unsatisfactory. A more robust CO\(_2\) total column retrieval contributes to the quality of the OCS product, which is particularly important given their correlation in the troposphere (vegetation uptake).

Note that we have also made a distinction between H\(_2\)16\(\text{O}\) and H\(_2\)18\(\text{O}\) which are both present in the P(18) mw.

Table 2 summarizes the retrieval parameters finally adopted in this paper. The five selected microwindows are fitted simultaneously. For the 2009 spectra, results show an average DOFS of 2.75 ± 0.33. This corresponds to a significant improvement when compared to the approach used in [25] which, for the same spectra and line
parameters (HITRAN 2012), would provide an average DOFS of 1.98 ± 0.34.

Table 3 provides an error budget resulting from major instrumental and analytical uncertainties that may affect typical individual OCS total column amounts above the site. As described in [57], while most of the error terms have been dealt with using perturbation methods applied to all solar spectra recorded during the year 2009, the contribution of measurements noise, smoothing and forward model parameters to the random component have been computed following the OEM formalism of Rodgers [58] on the basis of a representative subset of solar spectra. The alternative a priori profile comes from version 3 of ATMOS [59] and is the zonal mean (40–49°N) observed during the 1994 mission (see Figure 2.9 in Chapter 2 of [15]).

The largest contribution to the total systematic error results from the spectroscopic uncertainties in line-intensities for OCS, estimated at 5% (for the target lines) in the HITRAN 2012 database that we have adopted for all retrievals in this study. In the HITRAN 2004 edition, the intensities of the ν3 band of the principal isotopologue 16O12C32S were increased by 15.79% [42] to match the absorption profile. The resulting systematic error assignments were used to generate a false measurement residual profile. Measurement precisions, as determined from the repeated standard analysis, are ~0.3%. The results are reported on a calibration scale used within the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE), which was adopted from NOAA (see [34]).

3. Results and discussion

The strategy described in Section 2 has been applied to all available FTIR observations recorded with the Bruker instrument between January 1995 and July 2015. After exclusions of some observations (S/N ratios lower than 400, solar zenith angle higher than 85°, negative retrieved water vapor total column, bad residuals for solar lines, and outliers falling outside of the +2.5σ confidence interval deduced from a non-linear regression fit of the residuals as function of the solar zenith angle), the database upon which the results and discussion are based includes 6334 individual OCS column measurements above JFJ, encompassing 2081 observational days.

Averaging kernels provide a direct assessment of the theoretical altitude sensitivity of the observations in the absence of errors in the measurements and model parameters [54]. They are a function of the retrieval intervals selected, the spectral resolution of the observations, an assumed S/N ratio for the inversion, and the selections of the retrieval parameters, such as the a priori profile and its covariance matrix. Figure 4 shows that the altitude sensitivity of the FTIR measurements extends from the JFJ altitude (3.6 km) to about 25 km.

The mean DOFS of the dataset (2.79 ± 0.35) allows us to consider, in addition to the total column, a minimum of two partial columns. In fact, almost three partial columns are available, given the eigenvalues associated to the typical observation characterized in Fig. 4 (0.99, 0.96 and 0.70 for λ1, λ2, and λ3, respectively). The λ3 value means that 70% of the information is coming from the measurement for the corresponding eigenvector. Here however, we will focus on the two components and corresponding altitude ranges depicted in frame C of Fig. 4, in order to minimize as much as possible the impact of the region surrounding the tropopause (e.g., [25]), which is a mixture of tropospheric and stratospheric air. Note that the mean altitude of the tropopause above JFJ for the considered dataset is 11.7 km, with a 2σ of 2.5 km (calculated from the NCEP pressure–temperature profiles). Therefore we used two partial columns, making sure that the information is coming entirely from the measurements (96% for the second eigenvector) and enabling us to isolate tropospheric and stratospheric components of OCS. The selected merged layers (see Fig. 4, frame C) are 3.6–8.9 km and 13.8–19.5 km, with a tropopause which is out of these two altitude ranges for more than 92% of the total observational days.

Figure 5 (bottom frame) reproduces the daily mean tropospheric vertical partial (3.6–8.9 km) column abundances (expressed in numbers of OCS molecules per square cm) derived above JFJ between 1995 and 2015.5.

---

**Fig. 1.** Annual mean of Degrees of freedom for signal (DOFS) vs Root Mean Square (RMS) for residuals determined for the 21 mw’s defined in Table 1 with the 399 JFJ spectra in 2009. Error bars correspond to the standard deviation around the mean values. Mw’s used in [25] are shown in red.

---

**Table 1.** Theoretical altitude sensitivity of the observations in the absence of errors in the measurements and model parameters.
Fig. 2. Correlation between retrieved H$_2$O column deduced with P(28), P(25), P(21) and P(19) mw's when compared to those derived from the P(18) mw (left frames). Column values are expressed in molecules/cm$^2$. Black line is the ideal correlation (slope = 1) and red line is the correlation line. Right frames illustrate the H$_2$O absorption (blue curve) in a simulated spectrum (black curve) for P(28), P(25), P(21) and P(19) mw’s (red curve is the OCS absorption). The simulation is representative of a typical spectrum recorded above JFJ (50th-percentile for the solar zenith angle and 75th-percentile for the H$_2$O column; percentiles are determined on the basis of the year 2009). Vertical black lines indicate the boundaries of the considered mw. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
At first glance, three distinct periods emerge from Fig. 5, namely

(i) a first decreasing period of the OCS tropospheric loading above JFJ between 1995 and 2002, corresponding to a significant trend of \((-0.89 \pm 0.08)\%\) yr\(^{-1}\) when referenced to 1995.

(ii) an intermediate increasing period from 2002 to 2008, during which the OCS tropospheric abundance returns to the level of 1995, with a significant trend of \((+1.34 \pm 0.08)\%\) yr\(^{-1}\) (referenced to 2002).

(iii) and the more recent period which shows no significant trend since 2008.

These trends were determined by application of the statistical bootstrap re-sampling tool developed by Gardiner et al. [64]. This tool allows calculation, at the 2\(\sigma\) confidence level, of a long-term linear component, accounting for the seasonal modulation of a given data set with a third-order Fourier series.

Although also visible in the OCS total column abundances (see Table 4), these trends are clearly linked to driven by tropospheric processes, given the lack of similar trends in the stratospheric partial column abundances.

The conversion of our measured OCS tropospheric column abundances into mean mixing ratios (as returned by the SFIT-2 code on the basis of the physical \(P\text{-}T\) model
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atmosphere adopted for each day) averaged for the tropospheric altitude range (from 3.6 to 8.9 km), allows some comparison with ground-level in situ measurements (GCMS) performed at JFJ by Empa. Note that the FTIR mixing ratios correspond to moist air values while the GCMS results are reported as dry air mole fractions. However this difference is insignificantly small for the present comparison because of the generally very dry air masses at JFJ, in particular at high altitude [65].

Fig. 6 illustrates (upper frame) the daily means OCS mixing ratios time series from FTIR and GCMS measurements, which are derived from ~600 coincident measurement days. The major difference between the two measurements is that the FTIR is a column measurements and hence representative of a large part of the free troposphere while the GCMS measurements are made on ground-based samples at this site and hence are more sensitive to local and short-term injection of polluted air masses. The average relative difference between FTIR and GCMS observations is (−4.8 ± 3.5)% and this value is well within the systematic uncertainty estimated for the FTIR data (6.3%; see Table 3). The lower FTIR values are consistent with the general shape of the OCS vertical profile in the northern hemispheric mid-latitudes (see Fig. 4 in [66]) showing a decrease of the VMR’s with elevation. A shift in the timing of the seasonal variation is also visible, or in other words, there is seasonality in the differences (see the upper part of the upper frame of Fig. 6). The maximum is reached almost at the same time for FTIR and GCMS observations (around mid-April and beginning of May), but a delay of 2 months is found for the minimum (in mid-September for GCMS and in mid-November for FTIR). GCMS and FTIR data are close to each other in summer, because of a faster decline in GCMS OCS (probably due to a shorter response time to detect the effect of the OCS vegetative uptake during the growing season). The peak-to-peak amplitude deduced from the seasonal cycle is

Table 3
Major sources of random and systematic errors on typical individual OCS total column retrievals above the Jungfraujoch.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error sources</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systematic errors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line intensity OCS</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Assuming the maximal HITRAN 2012 uncertainties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-broadening coefficient OCS</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Assuming the maximal HITRAN 2012 uncertainties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line intensity interfering gases</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Assuming the maximal HITRAN 2012 uncertainties for O₃, H₂O, CO₂ and CO, combined in quadrature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental line shape</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>± 10% misalignment and instrument bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forward model</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Retrieval algorithm-related</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS a priori profile</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Assuming OCS a priori profiles derived from ACE-FTS and ATOMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SYSTEMATIC</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Random errors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature profile</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Assuming the NCEP profile uncertainty pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O a priori profiles</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Changes by a factor of 2 in a priori slope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar zenith angle</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Assuming ± 0.1° bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement noise</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothing</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model parameters</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL RANDOM</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. First eigenvectors (A), layer averaging kernels (B) and merged averaging kernels (C) characterizing the FTIR retrievals of OCS above Jungfraujoch. These information parameters are deduced from a typical observation. Averaging kernels are normalized for the partial columns. Dashed line in frame C represents the mean tropopause altitude above JFJ and the blue and grey shaded bands illustrate the altitude range of selected tropospheric and stratospheric partial columns. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
about 10%, relative to the mean of the considered data set (11.1% for the GCMS results and 10.4% for the FTIR results).

We also plotted on Fig. 6 (red line, upper frame) the fitted function deduced with the daily mean OCS mixing ratios obtained when using the Rinsland et al. [25] inversion strategy. The agreement with GCMS data is clearly better with our approach which allows a better capture of the seasonal cycle.

We also compared the FTIR stratospheric partial columns recorded above JFJ with FTIR solar occultation measurements from a space-based platform. The red curve on Fig. 6 (lower frame) represents the fit of the stratospheric daily mean OCS partial columns between 14 and 20 km altitude, derived from over 1380 solar occultation measurements (Version 3.5 products; [67]) recorded in the 41.5° to 51.5° northern latitude zone between 2004 and 2012 with the ACE-FTS instrument on-board SCISAT. Note that ACE-FTS retrievals for OCS were performed with the HITRAN 2004 spectroscopic database and with a set of 12 mw’s covering the P-branch of the ν3 fundamental band, including the four target lines of our ground-based retrieval strategy. To avoid comparing different air masses, we only considered the coincident days of measurements included in the 41.5° to 51.5° longitudinal zone to determine the relative differences illustrated on the upper part of the lower frame of Fig. 6. More data are needed to correctly discuss about the average relative difference between FTIR and ACE-FTS observations (+7.6 ± 5.5) %, deduced with less than 30 values. There is a nice agreement between the two data sets for the phase of the noticed seasonal cycle, although a small shift for the seasonal minimum is visible. Barkley et al. [66] suggested that atmospheric transport could be the seasonal process which influence the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total column</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molec. cm⁻² yr⁻¹ x 10¹³</td>
<td>+1.75 ± 0.14</td>
<td>+3.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>+6.84 ± 0.54</td>
<td>+1.40 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% yr⁻¹</td>
<td>+0.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td>−0.62 ± 0.08</td>
<td>+1.21 ± 0.10</td>
<td>+0.23 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tropospheric column (3.6–8.9 km)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molec. cm⁻² yr⁻¹ x 10¹³</td>
<td>+1.04 ± 0.08</td>
<td>−3.01 ± 0.25</td>
<td>+4.24 ± 0.25</td>
<td>−0.14 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% yr⁻¹</td>
<td>+0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>−0.89 ± 0.08</td>
<td>+1.34 ± 0.08</td>
<td>−0.04 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stratospheric column (13.8–19.5 km)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molec. cm⁻² yr⁻¹ x 10¹³</td>
<td>+0.15 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% yr⁻¹</td>
<td>+0.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All trends are calculated with the statistical bootstrap re-sampling method developed by [64]. The reference value for the relative trends (% yr⁻¹) is the one calculated for the first point of the considered time period.

---

Fig. 5. FTIR time series of OCS daily mean vertical partial column abundances above the Jungfraujoch (expressed in numbers of OCS molecules per square cm). Upper frame concerns the stratospheric partial column (13.8–19.5 km) and bottom frame the tropospheric partial column (3.6–8.9 km). Error bars correspond to standard deviations around daily means. Green, red and blue lines in the bottom frame represent the trend line calculated by the statistical bootstrap resampling method from [64] for the 1995–2002, 2002–2008 and 2008–2015.5 time periods, respectively. The black one in the upper frame is for the 1995–2015.5 period. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 4

Trends for OCS total, tropospheric and stratospheric columns above JFJ for different and relevant time periods deduced from our FTIR observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total column</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molec. cm⁻² yr⁻¹ x 10¹³</td>
<td>+1.75 ± 0.14</td>
<td>+3.67 ± 0.47</td>
<td>+6.84 ± 0.54</td>
<td>+1.40 ± 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% yr⁻¹</td>
<td>+0.31 ± 0.03</td>
<td>−0.62 ± 0.08</td>
<td>+1.21 ± 0.10</td>
<td>+0.23 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tropospheric column (3.6–8.9 km)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molec. cm⁻² yr⁻¹ x 10¹³</td>
<td>+1.04 ± 0.08</td>
<td>−3.01 ± 0.25</td>
<td>+4.24 ± 0.25</td>
<td>−0.14 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% yr⁻¹</td>
<td>+0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>−0.89 ± 0.08</td>
<td>+1.34 ± 0.08</td>
<td>−0.04 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stratospheric column (13.8–19.5 km)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>molec. cm⁻² yr⁻¹ x 10¹³</td>
<td>+0.15 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% yr⁻¹</td>
<td>+0.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The contrasted amplitudes (21.4% for FTIR and about twice as large for ACE-FTS) are not presently understood but probably partially linked to the large spatial distribution of the satellite data. Mean latitudinal OCS profiles measured by ACE-FTS from 2004 to 2006 on a larger latitudinal zone (20°N–60°N; see [66]) show an amplitude of about 30% for the stratospheric (17 km) seasonal variation.

We compared the trends observed above JFJ with other OCS times series already published. The tropospheric decreasing trend of the 1995–2002 period (−0.89 ± 0.08) % yr⁻¹ is slightly stronger than the one deduced by Rinsland et al. [25] above Kitt Peak (−0.25 ± 0.04) % yr⁻¹ but the latter characterized a longer period (1978–2002), with a possible smoothing effect on the trend value. The decline of OCS concentration at the end of the 20th century has been noticed in both hemispheres from firn air and ice core analysis [34,68]. Our trend agrees with the (−0.8 ± 0.5) % yr⁻¹ deduced by Sturges et al. [68] from firn air sampled at Devon Island (Canada) and for the 1988–1998 time frame. Diminution of CS₂ emissions by the viscose-rayon industry was mentioned as a possible cause for this trend.

For the tropospheric increasing trend of the 2002–2008 period, we can compare it with those reported by Kremser et al. [28] above Lauder and Wollongong from FTIR ground-based measurements in the Southern Hemisphere. The authors also noticed a change in the trend around 2008. For Wollongong, a tropospheric trend of (+0.99 ± 0.04) % yr⁻¹ for the 2001–2008 period was reported, not very far from the (+1.34 ± 0.08) % yr⁻¹ determined above JFJ for the 2002–2008 period. A short decreasing period followed but there is an increasing trend of almost the same value from 2010, in contrast with our stable period above JFJ since 2008. The approximatively same temporal scenario also applies for Lauder, with less intense increasing trends of about 0.50% yr⁻¹.

---

**Fig. 6.** Comparison of daily mean FTIR time series with in situ measurements (upper frame) and satellite observations (bottom frame). The time period under investigation result from data availability. Please note the different units used for the y-axis between the 2 frames. FTIR data in the upper frame correspond to the average mixing ratio of the 3.6–8.9 km altitude range, and in the bottom frame to the partial column covering the 13.8–19.5 km altitude range. The partial column of ACE-FTS corresponds to the 14–20 km altitude range. Blue, green and red dots follow the same key color that the curves which represent the fitted function coming from the statistical bootstrap resampling method from [64]. Relative differences for coincident days are illustrated with black crosses in the upper part of each frame. Red curve in the upper frame corresponds to the function fitted to the daily means OCS mixing ratios obtained with the [25] inversion strategy. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
The trend calculated for all GCMS data is \((\pm 0.08 \pm 0.06) \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\) for the 2008–2015 period, a consistent result considering the recent observations from the NOAA surface network updated through 2012, which suggest small changes in global OCS since 2000 (less than 3%), with an increase of 0.4% from 2011–2012 [1]. This result is in agreement with the stable period deduced from the FTIR dataset starting in 2008.

The new global OCS anthropogenic inventory developed by Campbell et al. [33, see Fig. 1] for years 1850 to 2013 shows that the decreasing period at the end of the 20th century was mainly driven by the decline of industrial CS\(_2\) emissions (dominated by rayon production). Emissions from coal combustion began to also decline since about 1990 due to concern over the impacts of sulfur emission. The geographical shift in the production of rayon materials (with China being now the dominant producer, pushing the global rayon production to grow by 140% between 2002 and 2013), combined with the continuous increase of biomass burning and emission linked to aluminum production, are consistent with the change in trend observed above JFJ in 2002. Especially as emissions coming from coal combustion seems to rise again at this time. Also OCS coal emissions are scaled in time using SO\(_2\) coal emissions, the last report of SO\(_2\) emissions ([69, see Fig. 2]) tend to confirm us that the OCS signal recorded above JFJ is closely related to anthropogenic sulfur emissions as already noticed by Montzka et al. [34] from ice and firn air analyses.

Concerning the stratospheric trend, the slight increase of \((\pm 0.20 \pm 0.06) \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\) for the 1995–2015 period above JFJ has two-thirds of its confidence interval included in the insignificant trend deduced from ACE-FTS data \((-0.19 \pm 0.42) \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\) (2004–2013). This is in good agreement with the lack of a significant temporal trend for the stratospheric OCS abundance for the 1978–2005 period deduced from a database of spectra collected with an airborne infrared spectrometer [70]. Rinsland et al. [71] came to the same conclusion from solar occultation measurements near 30°N latitude recorded by the ATMOS (1985 and 1994) and the ACE FTS (2004–2007) satellite instruments. Note that the JFJ stratospheric trend is quite similar to those recorded above Lauder and Wollongong by Kremsler et al. [28] for the 2001–2015 period. It might be tempting to also compare the JFJ OCS stratospheric trend with the one for the SSA. Since about 2000, an increase of 4–7% per year in the aerosol backscatter in the altitude range of 20–30 km has been detected at Mauna Loa and Boulder [26] but it is certainly too early to draw any conclusion because the contribution of each sulfur gas entering the stratosphere is still a matter of debate in the scientific community.

### 4. Summary and conclusions

Carbonyl sulfide (OCS) is the most abundant sulfur-containing compound in the free troposphere. The main source of OCS is biogenic activity in the ocean, and uptake by leaves and soil are its main sinks. OCS has attracted attention for its contribution to the non-volcanic background stratospheric sulfate aerosol (SSA) layer and more recently for its potential to become a photosynthesis tracer. However, large uncertainties remain in the field of OCS research, e.g., its global mass budget, its relative contribution to SSA or the impact of anthropogenic emissions on its long-term trend.

Long-term time series of tropospheric and stratospheric OCS measurements are needed to improve our knowledge about source and sink strengths and their geographic locations. The aim of this paper is to establish a new optimized retrieval strategy to derive the evolution of the OCS loading from the analysis of ground-based solar absorption spectra. The entire \(v_2\) fundamental band of the main isotopologue \(^{16}\text{O}^{12}\text{C}^{32}\text{S}\) spectral region \((1998.47–2092.68 \text{ cm}^{-1}\) was systematically explored in order to determine an updated approach optimizing the information content while minimizing the perturbations by several interfering atmospheric gases (mainly H\(_2\)O, CO\(_2\), O\(_3\), and CO), and by solar CO. We opted for a four-microwindows approach with \(P(32), P(28), P(25)\) and \(P(18)\) as target lines, combined with a fifth one dedicated to improving the CO\(_2\) adjustment.

This new strategy has been applied to a database of about 6350 solar absorption spectra (more than 2000 observational days) recorded between January 1995 and July 2015 at the high-altitude International Scientific Station of the Jungfraujoch (Swiss Alps, 46.5°N, 8.0°E; 3580 m above sea level) with a high spectral resolution Fourier transform infrared spectrometer. The increased information content from our optimized strategy allows us to consider a tropospheric and a stratospheric component restricted to the 3.6–8.9 and 13.8–19.5 km altitude ranges, minimizing the impact of seasonal changes in the tropopause height.

Three distinct periods emerge from tropospheric partial column, namely (i) a first decreasing period between 1995 and 2002, corresponding to a significant trend of \((-0.89 \pm 0.08) \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\) (2\(\sigma\)), (ii) an intermediate increasing period from 2002 to 2008, during which the OCS tropospheric abundance returns to the level of 1995, with a significant trend of \((+1.34 \pm 0.08) \% \text{ yr}^{-1}\) (2\(\sigma\)), and (iii) the more recent period which shows no significant trend since 2008. These results are consistent with previous studies that also found a decline of OCS concentration at the end of the 20th century in both hemispheres. In contrast, the intermediate increasing period noticed above JFJ is only reported in the Southern Hemisphere from ground-based FTIR measurements.

When accounting for the total systematic error of \(\pm 6.3\%\) affecting the FTIR measurements, which is dominated by the uncertainty on the OCS spectroscopic parameters adopted here, our tropospheric mixing ratios are in good agreement with results derived from in situ GCMS measurements performed since 2008 by Empa at the Jungfraujoch site. A quite similar seasonal cycle is noticed, with a peak-to-peak close to 10%, a spring (between April and May) maximum and an autumn minimum (September for GCMS and November for FTIR). The trend deduced from the GCMS data is also in good agreement with the deduced stable period from 2008 onwards.
Our FTIR stratospheric component shows a more constant trend of \((+0.20 \pm 0.06)\%\ \text{yr}^{-1}\) for the 1995–2015 period, very similar to those noticed in the Southern Hemisphere stratosphere by [28], and confirmed by solar occultation measurements recorded in the 41.5° to 51.5° northern latitude between 2004 and 2012 with the ACE-FTS instrument on-board SCISAT. This slight increase in the stratospheric trend seems too weak to support the increasing rate detected in the stratospheric aerosol backscatter.

The lack of modulation in the stratospheric partial column time series demonstrate that the decreasing/increasing trends detected for the 1995–2002 and 2002–2008 periods are clearly driven by tropospheric processes. A recent OCS anthropogenic inventory [33] and the last report of SO2 emissions [69] provide evidence supporting a close relationship between the OCS signal recorded above JF and the anthropogenic sulfur emissions.

A sole time series does not suffice for identifying the causes of the non-monotonic long-term evolution of OCS with time. More datasets will be needed with a larger spatially and temporal distribution to improve our knowledge of OCS processes, together with targeted model studies that account for changes in the large-scale circulation (e.g., [72]) and OCS transport [28] as well as simulations with a chemical transport model like GEOS-Chem (e.g., [5,12]) focused on the vegetation uptake. It will be mandatory to evaluate the impact of the anthropogenic emissions of sulfur on the long-term trend of OCS.

We hope that the strategy described in this paper will encourage other studies in the framework of the NDACC network. Our strategy has been supplied to other partners [12,28]. Some adaptations might be necessary, to match site characteristics (e.g., humidity, altitude), but we are confident that the strategy described here constitutes a solid basis for future investigations.
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